

IAT-LNG audit checklist

Audit checklist of the IAPH tool for auditing LNG Bunker Facility Operators

Ship-to-Ship version



Page 1 of 40

INDEX

Table of Contents

User License – Terms and Conditions	3
Confidentiality statement	4
PART I – COMPANY, VESSELS, AND AUDIT INFORMATION	5
PART II – INTRODUCTION	7
IAPH Audit Tool description	7
Explanation and usage of the audit checklist	8
PART III – DOCUMENTS SUPPLIED BY COMPANY	9
PART IV – AUDIT CHECKLIST	10
Safety system requirement 1 – Company mission on system safety and Quality Management System	10
Safety system requirement 2 – Training and Competence	13
Safety system requirement 3 – Resources and Maintenance	19
Safety system requirement 4 – Optimal Preparations	23
Safety system requirement 5 – Safe Operations	28
Safety system requirement 6 – Aftercare	
Safety system requirement 7 – Quality Manager	
Safety system requirement 8 – Internal Control and Reporting	
PART V – AUDIT REPORT	40
APPENDIX 1 – LIST OF REFERENCES	41

User License – Terms and Conditions

Please read the Terms and Conditions (the Terms) carefully before using the IAPH Audit Tool (the Tool) as produced and distributed by the International Association of Ports and Harbors (IAPH). The Terms can be found in the description document that comes with the Tool (IAT-LNG-STS-1).

The Terms apply to users of the Tool and to those that download and/or distribute the Tool. Your access to and use of the Tool is conditioned on your acceptance of and compliance with the Terms.

By using or distributing the Tool you agree to be bound by these Terms. If you disagree with any part of the Terms then you may not use or distribute the Tool.

Confidentiality statement

All information disclosed within this document is confidential and will not be revealed to any other party without written permission of the applicant, except where disclosure is required by law.

PART I – COMPANY, VESSELS, AND AUDIT INFORMATION

Company

	Company Information
Company name:	
Company role:	
E.g. bunker vessel operator	
Address:	
Post address:	
Phone number:	
Contact person information:	

Applicable vessel

	Vessel information
Vessel name:	
Distinctive identification: E.g. IMO number	
Port of registry:	
Type of ship and gross tonnage:	
Vessel owner:	
Please provide company name, address, phone and contact person.	
Vessel charterer:	
Please provide company name, address, phone and contact person.	
Vessel operator:	
Please provide company name, address, phone and contact person.	
Crewing agency:	
Please provide company name, address, phone and contact person.	

Audits

	Company audit	Vessel audit	
Lead auditor:			
Supporting auditor:			
Date:			
Location:			
Signature lead auditor:			
Signature supporting auditor:			

Reality check

PART II – INTRODUCTION

IAPH Audit Tool description

Objective

The objective of the IAPH Audit Tool for LNG Bunker Facility Operators is to support port authorities in their decision-making process of issuing a license (to operate) to a LNG bunker facility operator. As such the tool supports a systematically and independent process for obtaining audit evidence and objectively assessing facts in order to determine the extent to which pre-defined system safety criteria have been met.

System requirements

Eight high-level system safety criteria are set as 'system requirements'. Whether or not a bunker facility operator (the company) is in compliance with these requirements is determined by the assessment of the results of an audit. During this audit, system checks are carried out at two levels:

- Management board and office organization level;
- Bunker vessel level.

For each system requirement a set of audit checks is laid down. Assessment of the outcome of these checks determines whether the company meets the specific system requirement. A well-organized and system safety-based LNG bunker operation process is in place if the company meets all eight system requirements. The system requirements are the following:

1) The company's management board has formulated a mission with regard to good performances in carrying out LNG bunker operations in a safe and environmentally friendly way through compliance with regulations and the prevention of incidents. In addition, the company has set objectives with respect to improving these performances and the management board works proactively to achieve these objectives. The company has a well-functioning quality management system¹⁾ to support its safety quality commitment.

¹⁾ The following is meant by a 'quality management system': A quality management system helps a company to work effectively to meet the system criteria. The system ensures the achievement of good performances with respect to safe operations by the company or by the companies involved in the operations, i.e. preventing incidents or environmental incidents and improvement of these performances. This way of working is supported by ICT where necessary.

- The quality management system guarantees optimal training and competence of staff. 2)
- The quality management system guarantees optimal resources, such as LNG bunker equipment, and optimal planned maintenance of this equipment by a planned maintenance system (PMS). 3)
- The quality management system guarantees optimal preparation for performing LNG bunker operations; e.g. procedures with respect to risk assessment, SIMOPS, mooring system, compatibility checks between the ship and the 4) bunker vessel.
- The quality management system guarantees optimal safety in performing LNG bunker operations; e.g. procedures with respect to the use of checklists. 5)
- The quality management system guarantees optimal completion (aftercare) of the LNG bunker operations and guarantees that all employees are familiar with the obligation to report and make written records of any safety related 6) non-conformities (i.e. incidents, near-incidents/near-misses and hazardous occurrences) and to act accordingly. The system also enables access to information showing to what extent these non-conformities happen in the company and what measures the company has taken for the prevention of future occurrences. In addition, the system ensures the reporting safety related non-conformities to the ports.
- 7) The company has appointed an independent Quality Manager who is responsible for the internal control on the mission and objectives mentioned above under requirement 1. The Quality Manager, for instance an HSE manager, has adequate education, training and experience. The Quality Manager is the point of contact for the port(s).
- The Quality Manager bears the responsibility for internal auditing of the quality management system and for initiating improvements of the system. He or she reports on a half-yearly basis to the port(s) on their achievements with 8) respect to the performance of safe and environmentally friendly LNG bunker operations, including a list of all relevant documentation versions.

Explanation and usage of the audit checklist

Checklist guidance

For each system requirement a set of audit checks is laid down by means of a checklist. The checklist has the following structure:



Audit color legend

The following color code may be used by auditors to differentiate on how items have been audited.



	Result	Clarification and reference
vel.	□ Yes □ Obs	Ref. SGMF Gas as a marine fuel bunker guidelines v2.0 2017
	In th guid	is part clarification of the audit check and / or reference to a standard, leline or best practice may be found.

PART III – DOCUMENTS SUPPLIED BY COMPANY

This list is initially empty and will be filled in by the auditing team

Nr	Document	Company reference	Version and date	Status	Available on board	
001					🗆 Yes	
002					□ Yes	
003					□ Yes	
004					□ Yes	
005					□ Yes	
006					□ Yes	
007					□ Yes	
008					□ Yes	
009					□ Yes	
010					□ Yes	
011					□ Yes	
012					□ Yes	
013					□ Yes	
014					□ Yes	
015					□ Yes	
016					□ Yes	
017					□ Yes	
018					□ Yes	
019					□ Yes	
020					□ Yes	
021					🗆 Yes	
022					□ Yes	
023					□ Yes	
024					□ Yes	
025					□ Yes	

Findings		

PART IV – AUDIT CHECKLIST

Safety system requirement 1 – Company mission on system safety and Quality Management System

The company's management board has formulated a mission with regard to good performances in carrying out LNG bunker operations in a safe and environmentally friendly way through compliance with regulations and the prevention of incidents. In addition, the company has set objectives with respect to improving these performances and the management board works proactively to achieve these objectives. The company has a well-functioning quality management system1) to support its safety quality commitment.

Nr	Audit item company office	Result	Audit item LNG bunker vessel	Result	Reality check (Operational audit item)	Result	Clarification and reference
1-1	Does the LNG bunker company have a manifested vision and mission that shows that the management supports an excellent safety and environmental performance during LNG bunker operations?	□ Yes □ Obs	Is the captain of the LNG bunker vessel acquainted with the manifested vision and mission of the company?	□ Yes □ Obs	Is the crew of the LNG bunker vessel acquainted with the manifested vision and mission of the company?	□ Yes □ Obs	This check shows whether the company is motivated to perform on a high level of safety. C1-S12-15, S5-7, S8-9
Auditor	findings:						
1-2	Are the vision and mission translated into a clear policy, consisting of rules and measures, with regard to safety and environmental performance?	□ Yes □ Obs	Is the crew of the LNG bunker vessel acquainted with the policy of the company?	□ Yes □ Obs	Are the rules and measures that are imposed by the policy unequivocally clear to the crewmembers? How many of proposed improvements (for instance by the QM) have been implemented?	□ Yes □ Obs	C1-S12-15, S5-7, S8-9 (See QM in 7)
Auditor	r findings:			•			
1-3	Does the policy show a drive for continuous improvement of performance?	□ Yes □ Obs	Is the crew of the LNG bunker vessel acquainted with the aim to continuously improve with regard to safety and environmental performance?	☐ Yes ☐ Obs	Can the crew point out which most recent actions were taken that aimed to improve safety and environmental performance?	□ Yes □ Obs	Should be an integral part of the policy, the continuous improvement is explicitly considered by this check. Measures from previous accreditation audits should be discussed here as well. C1-S12-15, S5-7, S8-9
Auditor	r findings:						
1-4	Has the company reserved a budget for the improvement of performance?	□ Yes □ Obs	Does the captain of the LNG bunker vessel have a budget for safety related improvement actions?	□ Yes □ Obs	n/a		Financial means are usually required for improvement actions. Budget does not have to be specific but may be part of the general budget. C1-S11-15

Auditor	findings:				
1-5	Does the LNG bunker company have an operational quality management system (QMS) to manage the efforts to comply with this policy?	□ Yes □ Obs	Is the LNG bunker vessel's leading staff acquainted with the company's QMS?	□ Yes □ Obs	Are the requirements of the QMS clear to the crew members? Is input consistently delivered by the cr members in order to make the QMS effective?
Auditor	findings:		<u> </u>		<u>.</u>
1-6	Does the company's annual plan mention objectives for safety and environmental performance with regard to LNG activities for instance based on LNG safety and environmental Key Performance Indicators (KPIs)?	☐ Yes ☐ Obs	Is the LNG bunker vessel's leading staff aware of the objectives in the annual plan with regard to safe operations?	□ Yes □ Obs	Does the LNG bunker vessel's captain report on th operation performance in such way that it contribu to the LNG Safety and environmental KPI's?
Auditor	findings:				
1-7	 Is a responsibility plan in place for all tasks during a LNG bunkering? Is the appointed PIC overall responsible for the LNG bunker operation, also on the receiving vessel? 	☐ Yes ☐ Obs	Are all responsibilities well known on board of the vessel?	☐ Yes ☐ Obs	Do the people on board act according their responsibilities?
Auditor	findings:				
1-8	Have the LNG Safety and environmental KPI's of last year been met, and if not have improvement actions been undertaken?	□ Yes □ Obs	Is the LNG bunker vessel's leading staff aware of the LNG Safety and environmental KPI's as determined by the management? Is the LNG bunker vessel's leading staff informed / aware of this review and its key findings or recommendations with regard to safety and environmental performance?	□ Yes □ Obs	Can the LNG bunker vessel's captain show the late indication of performance?
Auditor	findings:				

rew	🗆 Yes	C1-S12-15, S5-7, S8-9
crew	\Box Obs	

ne outes	☐ Yes ☐ Obs	It's important to know whether the organization formulates improvement goals.
		Including actions and recommendations of other inspections and or vetting systems.
		Measures from previous accreditation audits should be discussed here as well. C1-S12-15, S5-7, S8-9

□ Yes	C1-5.3, S3-4, S2-1.5/6/7, S1-1.6
🗆 Obs	

est	□ Yes □ Obs	It's important that written goals are translated to measurable KPIs.
		C1-S12-15, S5-7, S8-9

1-9	Does the company perform a regular general risk assessment with regard to safe and environmentally friendly LNG bunker operations?	□ Yes □ Obs	Is the LNG bunker vessel's leading staff invited to participate in the general risk assessments?	□ Yes □ Obs	n/a
Auditor	findings:				
1-10	 Are procedures in place to review the applicable international, national and local regulations, port byelaws, industry guidelines, standards, checklists, and classification societies rules and guidelines, and inform all appropriate company employees accordingly? How does the company assure that the LNG bunker vessel's crew is informed on the latest rules and regulations (including Port Bye laws. manufacturer, LNG supplier information, Flag State, etc.)? 	□ Yes □ Obs	 Has the LNG bunker vessel's leading staff any responsibility in the update of procedures due to possible updates of applicable regulations or requirements? In which way is the crew be informed on changes in regulations or requirements? Does each crew member have to sign for having read changes to procedures and/or documents? 	□ Yes □ Obs	Can a responsible crew member indicate whether instructions are different for LNG bunkering in dif ports, and in what way these may be different?
Auditor	findings:				
1-11	Are procedures in place to identify all documents, information, analysis, procedures, licenses, accreditations, etc. required by Authorities.	□ Yes □ Obs	 Are all required permits granted to perform the planned LNG bunkering? Is there a system to follow up eventual expiring periods for granted permissions? 	☐ Yes ☐ Obs	Can a responsible crew member indicate whether documentation is different for LNG bunkering in different ports or locations, and in what way this be different?
Auditor	findings:				

	It's important that the company has a
	knowledge on the highest risks within
	their operations. Participation from
	the operation employees is essential.
	C1-S12-15, S5-7, S8-9

⁻ work	🗆 Yes	C1-S12-15, S5-7, S8-9
ferent	\Box Obs	

ſ	🗆 Yes	C1-S12-15, S5-7, S8-9
	\Box Obs	
may		

Safety system requirement 2 – Training and Competence

The quality management system guarantees optimal training and competence of staff.

Nr	Audit item company office	Result	Audit item LNG bunker vessel	Result	Reality check (Operational audit) - Crew
2-1	Has the company defined and documented a functional description with the required qualifications and competence for each position that is involved in the operation of safe the LNG bunker operations.	□ Yes □ Obs	n/a		n/a
Auditor	findings:				
2-2	Does the company have a training program in place that defines the required training for all personnel based on the functional descriptions and their competences?	□ Yes □ Obs	n/a		n/a
Auditor	findings:		<u>.</u>		<u>.</u>
2-3	Does the company have a training management system in place that guarantees and documents the proper training and competence of all personnel, all the time, by: <i>planning and recording of training;</i> <i>planning and recording of refresher training;</i> <i>evaluation of training</i>	□ Yes □ Obs	Is the LNG bunker vessel's captain able to verify whether the crew members have the required training and skills, and when they are due for a refresh training? Are LNG bunker vessel's crewmembers able to verify whether they have the required training and skills, and when they are due for a refresh training?	□ Yes □ Obs	Can the LNG bunker vessel's captain show that all the current on-board crewmembers have the requ training and skills as determined by the training program? Is anyone on board due for a refresher training How was he/she informed?
Auditor	findings:	<u> </u>			
2-4	Has the company appointed a dedicated responsible person for the training program and hence the training and competence of personnel?	□ Yes □ Obs	Is the LNG bunker vessel's captain aware of the by the company appointed responsible person for training and competence of personnel?	□ Yes □ Obs	n/a
Auditor	findings:				

Result	Clarification and reference
	S2-1.8, S4, S3-4.3, S6- 8, S2-1.8, i2

F	S2-1.8, S4, S3-4.3, S6- 8, S2-1.8, i2

of uired	□ Yes □ Obs	S2-1.8, S4, S3-4.3, S6- 8, S2-1.8, S6- 11.7, i2
g?		

S2-1.8, S4, S3-4.3, S6- 8, S2-1.8, i2

2-5	Is a procedure in place that ensures that the training program is up to date, based on the appropriate legislation and company's requirements with regards to the competence of personnel?	Yes Obs	n/a		n/a		S2-1.8, S4, S3-4.3, S6- 8, S2-1.8, i2
Auditor	findings:			-			
2-6	Is a procedure in place that ensures that the training program is effective; i.e. that verifies that trained staff has learned training goals as set?	□ Yes □ Obs	Is LNG bunker vessel's leading staff involved in checks on effectiveness of training? (For instance, by evaluations after the training).	□ Yes □ Obs	n/a		S2-1.8, S4, S3-4.3, S6- 8, S2-1.8, i2
Auditor	findings:			-			
2-7	Is a procedure in place that ensures that always a sufficient number of in LNG bunker operation trained and experienced LNG bunker vessel's staff and crew is on board?	□ Yes □ Obs	 Is LNG bunker vessel's leading staff involved in checks on whether a sufficient number of in LNG bunker operations trained and experienced crew is on board? Do crew changes have an impact on the number of LNG bunker operations trained and experience crew? In case the captain noticed a lack of in LNG bunker operations trained and experienced crew, can he act accordingly? What will be the proper reaction? 	□ Yes □ Obs	Is a sufficient number of in LNG bunker operations trained and experienced crew on board?	□ Yes □ Obs	S2-1.8, S4, S3-4.3, S6- 8, S2-1.8, i2
Auditor	uditor findings:						
2-8	 Does the training program include a familiarization training on LNG for all the LNG bunker vessel's staff, including but not limited to: Properties and hazards of LNG relevant to the LNG bunkering operations; International or national regulations and guidelines regarding LNG fuel transfer operations; Potential effects of mixing LNG with different properties; Risk reducing measures; First aid specific to frost-bite and asphyxiation; 	□ Yes □ Obs	Can the LNG bunker vessel's captain show proof of the LNG bunker vessel's staff having received a familiarization training?	☐ Yes ☐ Obs	Can a randomly chosen applicable LNG bunker vessel's crew member proof having received the required training?	□ Yes □ Obs	S2-1.8, S4, S3-4.3, S6- 8, S2-1.8, i2

	S2-1.8, S4, S3-4.3, S6- 8, S2-1.8, i2

	S2-1.8, S4, S3-4.3, S6- 8, S2-1.8, i2

s	□ Yes	S2-1.8, S4, S3-4.3, S6- 8, S2-1.8, i2
	🗆 Obs	

Auditor	findings:						
2-9	Does the training program include a familiarization training for all LNG bunker vessel's staff with regard to the company's specific requirements, procedures and documents?	□ Yes □ Obs	Can the LNG bunker vessel's captain show proof of crew members holding this position having received such a familiarization training?	□ Yes □ Obs	Can a randomly chosen applicable crew member proof to be acquainted with the company's requirements, procedures and documents?	□ Yes □ Obs	S2-1.8, S4, S3-4.3, S6- 8, S2-1.8, i2
Auditor	findings:						
2-10	Does the training program include a familiarization training for all LNG bunker vessel's staff with regard to the LNG bunker vessel's specific equipment, arrangements, procedures and operational characteristics?	□ Yes □ Obs	Can the LNG bunker vessel's captain show proof of crew members holding this position having received such a familiarization training?	□ Yes □ Obs	Can a randomly chosen applicable crew member proof to be acquainted with the LNG bunker vessel's specific equipment, arrangements, procedures and operational characteristics?	Yes Obs	S2-1.8, S4, S3-4.3, S6- 8, S2-1.8, i2
Auditor	findings:		<u> </u>				
2-11	Does the training program include training the LNG bunker vessel's leading staff on the local LNG regulations (Port Bye Laws)?	□ Yes □ Obs	Is the LNG bunker vessel's leading staff acquainted with the local LNG regulations (Port Bye Laws);	□ Yes □ Obs	Are ship and LNG bunker operations in compliance with the LNG regulations in the Port Bye Laws?	□ Yes □ Obs	S2-1.8, S4, S3-4.3, S6- 8, S2-1.8, i2
Auditor	findings:						
2-12	Does the training program include training the personnel involved in LNG bunker operations in the use of the "Ship To Ship" LNG Bunkering Checklist?	□ Yes □ Obs	Are LNG bunker vessel's crewmembers involved in the LNG bunker operations acquainted with the use of the "Ship To Ship" LNG Bunkering Checklist	□ Yes □ Obs	Is the 'Ship To Ship' LNG Bunkering Checklist properly used?	□ Yes □ Obs	S2-1.8, S4, S3-4.3, S6- 8, S2-1.8, i2
Auditor	findings:						
2-13	Does the training program include training the LNG bunker vessel's leading staff including 'persons in charge' (PIC), and/or the "LNG bunker superintendents" on forehand with the content of the bunker management plan?	☐ Yes ☐ Obs	Are the LNG bunker vessel's captain, the person in charge (PIC), and/or the "LNG bunker superintendent" acquainted with the content of the bunker management plan / Bunker manual?	☐ Yes ☐ Obs	Are ship and operations in compliance with the bunker management plan / Bunker manual?	☐ Yes ☐ Obs	S2-1.8, S4, S3-4.3, S6- 8, S2-1.8, i2

proof	🗆 Yes	S2-1.8, S4, S3-4.3, S6- 8, S2-1.8, i2
ts,	\Box Obs	

proof	🗆 Yes	S2-1.8, S4, S3-4.3, S6- 8, S2-1.8, i2
ecific	🗆 Obs	
tional		

unker	🗆 Yes	S2-1.8, S4, S3-4.3, S6- 8, S2-1.8, i2
	\Box Obs	

Auditor	findings:				
2-14	Does the training program include a nautical simulation training of manoeuvring the bunker vessel and approaching a receiving ship, for personnel holding a position that includes this duty?	□ Yes □ Obs	Can the LNG bunker vessel's captain show proof of crew members holding this position having received such a nautical simulation training?	□ Yes □ Obs	Can a randomly chosen applicable LNG bunker ve crewmember prove having received the required training?
Auditor	findings:	L		I	
				_	
2-15	Does the training program include a training on mooring and unmooring procedures, including but not limited to:	□ Yes □ Obs	Can the LNG bunker vessel's captain show proof of crew members holding this position having received such a training?	□ Yes □ Obs	Can a randomly chosen applicable LNG bunker ve crewmember proof having received the required training?
	 Procedures for passing lines between vessels; Quick release systems; Properties of mooring lines; Fender management; Measures to minimize chafing of lines; 				
	□ Awareness of snap-back zones,				
	for personnel holding a position that includes these duties.				
Auditor	findings:	I		I	
2-16	Does the training program for watch keeping on the bridge include procedures specifically for LNG bunkering?	□ Yes □ Obs	Can the LNG bunker vessel's captain show proof of crew members holding this watch keeping position during LNG bunkering having received a training in procedures especially for LNG bunkering?	□ Yes □ Obs	Can a randomly chosen applicable LNG bunker ve crew member proof having received the required training?
	□ Will there be a bridge watch during LNG bunkering?				
Auditor	findings:				
2-17	Does the training program include training the operation of the LNG bunker vessel's specific machinery for personnel holding a position that includes this duty?	☐ Yes ☐ Obs	Can the LNG bunker vessel's captain show proof of crew members holding this position having received a specific machine operating training?	☐ Yes ☐ Obs	Can a randomly chosen applicable LNG bunker ve crew member proof having received the required training?

ssel's	🗆 Yes	S2-1.8, S4, S3-4.3, S6- 8, S2-1.8, i2
	\Box Obs	

ssel's	□ Yes □ Obs	S2-1.8, S4, S3-4.3, S6- 8, S2-1.8, i2

ssel's	🗆 Yes	S2-1.8, S4, S3-4.3, S6- 8, S2-1.8, i2
	\Box Obs	

ssel's	🗆 Yes	S2-1.8, S4, S3-4.3, S6- 8, S2-1.8, i2
	\Box Obs	

Auditor	findings:						
2-18 Auditor	Does the training program for deck watch keeping include procedures specifically for LNG bunkering? findings:	□ Yes □ Obs	Can the LNG bunker vessel's captain show proof of crew members having received deck watch keeping training specifically for LNG bunkering?	☐ Yes ☐ Obs	Can a randomly chosen applicable LNG bunker vessel's crew member proof having received the required training?	☐ Yes ☐ Obs	S2-1.8, S4, S3-4.3, S6- 8, S2-1.8, i2
2-19	Does the training program include training the LNG bunker vessel's leading staff on roles and responsibilities of the involved parties during LNG bunker operations?	□ Yes □ Obs	Can the LNG bunker vessel's captain show proof of the LNG bunker vessel's leading staff having received responsibilities training?	□ Yes □ Obs	Can a randomly chosen applicable LNG bunker vessel's crew member proof having received the required training?	□ Yes □ Obs	S2-1.8, S4, S3-4.3, S6- 8, S2-1.8, i2
Auditor	findings:						
2-20	 Does the training program include, for personnel with a position that includes these duties, a practical or simulation training of the LNG bunker handling, including but not limited to: LNG fuel transfer operations; international or national regulations and guidelines potential effects of mixing LNG with different properties; safe operation of LNG fuel transfer equipment; procedures to be followed during normal LNG bunkering operations: pre-transfer procedures, tests, and checks; safe connection procedure; ESD linking; checks and procedures during LNG bunkering operations; draining and purging procedures; safe disconnection procedure; LNG fuel quantity and properties confirmation; management of operations other than LNG fuel transfer (SIMOPS) that can occur simultaneously with that transfer; all other procedures applied for the specific operation; 	□ Yes □ Obs	Can the LNG bunker vessel's captain show proof of crew members holding this position having received such a LNG bunker practical handling or simulation training?	☐ Yes ☐ Obs	Can a randomly chosen applicable LNG bunker vessel's crew member proof having received the required training?	☐ Yes ☐ Obs	S2-1.8, S4, S3-4.3, S6- 8, S2-1.8, i2

Audito	findings:				
2-21	 Does for personnel holding a position that includes these duties, the training program include training for: the operation and handling of cranes; procedures for the safe access to both ships; of the connection and disconnection of the hoses/arms; hose slinging and support arrangements; 	□ Yes □ Obs	Can the LNG bunker vessel's captain show proof of crew members holding this position having received such a training?	□ Yes □ Obs	Can a randomly chosen applicable LNG bunker ve crew member proof having received the required training?
Audito	findings:	1	I		1
2-22	Does the training program include a training on handling incidents and emergency operations, by rapid situation assessment techniques with focus of re- stabilization of unstable situations, including but not limited to: aborting mooring operations; collision; cargo spill; emergency disconnection and departure; sudden change of ambient/sea conditions; loss of power by receiving ship or bunker vessel loss of monitoring/control/safety systems (ESD) loss of communication; events leading to ESD activation abnormal operating parameters; activated alarms; for personnel holding a position that includes handling these situations?	☐ Yes ☐ Obs	Can the LNG bunker vessel's captain show proof of crew members holding the position having received such a training on handling incidents and emergency operations?	☐ Yes ☐ Obs	Can a randomly chosen applicable LNG bunker ve crew member proof having received the required training?

ssel's	🗆 Yes	S2-1.8, S4, S3-4.3, S6- 8, S2-1.8, i2
	\Box Obs	

ssel's	□ Yes □ Obs	S2-1.8, S4, S3-4.3, S6- 8, S2-1.8, i2

Safety system requirement 3 – Resources and Maintenance

The quality management system guarantees optimal resources, such as LNG bunker equipment, and optimal planned maintenance of this equipment by a planned maintenance system (PMS).

Nr	Audit item company office	Result	Audit item LNG bunker vessel	Result	Reality check (Operational audit item)	Result	Clarification and reference
3-1	Are procedures in place to check that all bunkering equipment is certified by the relevant Classification Society (on-board equipment) or by relevant Authorities (on-shore equipment).	□ Yes □ Obs	Are procedures in place to check if the available certifications are still valid? Is there a program in place for recertification of equipment? Are all certifications available on board?	□ Yes □ Obs	Is a randomly selected LNG bunker vessel's crew member aware of test- and certification procedures for essential and vulnerable equipment.	□ Yes □ Obs	S5-5, S6-9, S3-5, S12-14.3, i4-ESI-I, i6;
Auditor	findings:						<u> </u>
3-2	Are the technical requirements for the loading arm and hose arrangement as per best practice guidelines? (see reference)	□ Yes □ Obs	Is a procedure available to perform the pre-bunkering check for the loading arm and hose arrangement to check if these are appropriate for use?	□ Yes □ Obs	Can a responsible crew member provide some elements or examples that indicate that the loading arm and hose arrangement are not fit for use?	□ Yes □ Obs	S5-5, S6-9, S3-5 S2-9.2, S12-14.3, i4- appendix 8, i6;
Auditor	findings:						
3-3	Are the technical requirements for the coupling and connecting flanges as per best practice guidelines? (see reference)	□ Yes □ Obs	Is a procedure available to perform the pre-bunkering check for the coupling and connecting flanges to check if these are appropriate for use?	□ Yes □ Obs	Can a randomly chosen applicable crew member describe the actions to check the coupling and connecting flanges are fit for use.	□ Yes □ Obs	S5-5, S6-9, S3-5 S2-9.2, S12-14.3, i4- appendix 8, i6;
Auditor	findings:						
3-4	Are the technical requirements for leakage detection as per best practice guidelines? (see reference)	□ Yes □ Obs	Are procedural prescriptions available for when pre- bunkering test show that some of the leakage detection systems do not work properly?	□ Yes □ Obs	Can a randomly chosen applicable LNG bunker vessel's crew member describe what action is normally to be taken if a leakage detection doesn't work? What action is normally to be taken if a leakage detection device frequently gives alarm signals?	□ Yes □ Obs	S5-5, S6-9, S3-5, S12-14.3, i4-appendix 8, i6;
Auditor	findings:						
3-5	Are the technical requirements for the Emergency Shut Down (ESD) systems as per best practice guidelines? (see reference)	□ Yes □ Obs	Does the ship PIC or the LNG bunker vessel's crew have a possibility to adapt the ESD parameters of the Emergency Shut Down (ESD) systems? Are there any restrictions to do so?	☐ Yes ☐ Obs	Can a responsible LNG bunker vessel's crew member describe the pre-bunkering test procedure for the (ESD) systems and the actions taken if such a test gives a negative result?	□ Yes □ Obs	S5-5, S6-9, S3-5, S12-14.3, i4-appendix 8, i6;
	□ Does this include an ESD link between the ESD		\Box Is the ship able to connect an ESD link between the				

	system of the supplying LNG bunker facility and the ESD system of the receiving ship?		ESD system of the supplying LNG bunker facility and the ESD system of the receiving ship?		□ Is an ESD link established between the ESD system of the supplying LNG bunker facility and the ESD system of the receiving ship?		
Auditor	findings:						<u> </u>
3-6	Are the technical requirements for the emergency release system as per best practice? (see reference).	□ Yes □ Obs	Is a procedure available to perform the pre-bunkering check for the emergency release system?	□ Yes □ Obs	Can the responsible LNG bunker vessel's crew member describe the functioning of the emergency release system?	□ Yes □ Obs	S5-5, S6-9, S3-5, S12-14.3, i4-appendix 8, i6;
Auditor	findings:						
3-7	Are the technical requirements for the bunkering transfer rate as per best practice guidelines considered? (see reference).	□ Yes □ Obs	Is a procedure available to determine the bunkering transfer rate and how are the technical requirements being checked?	□ Yes □ Obs	n/a		S5-5, S6-9, S3-5, S12-14.3, S2-7, i4- appendix 8, i6;
Auditor	findings:		·				
3-8	Are the technical requirements for the vapour return line as per best practice guidelines? (see reference)	□ Yes □ Obs	Are procedures available with regard to the choice and use of a vapour return line?	□ Yes □ Obs	Are work instructions available for the use of a vapour return line?	□ Yes □ Obs	S5-5, S6-9, S3-5, S12-14.3, S2-7, i4- appendix 8, i6;
Auditor	findings:						
Auditor	findings:						
3-9	Are the technical requirements for the Cryogenic Protection as per best practice guidelines? (see reference).	□ Yes □ Obs	Are procedural prescriptions available for when pre- bunkering test show that some part of the cryogenic protection systems does not work properly or is broken?	□ Yes □ Obs	Ask the LNG bunker vessel's bunker watch or other crew member if there are any instructions what to do or how to act if the water curtain stops to work properly during the bunkering operation?	□ Yes □ Obs	S5-5, S6-9, S3-5, S12-14.3, i4-appendix 8, i6;
Auditor	findings:		· 				·
3-10	Does the company have a Planned Maintenance System (PMS)?	□ Yes □ Obs	Can the LNG bunker vessel's captain show proof that maintenance of the LNG bunker equipment/installation performed according the PMS	□ Yes □ Obs	Is the LNG bunker vessel's crew before a bunker operation able to show the latest PMS forms with regard to LNG bunker equipment and has maintenance taken place according PMS procedures?	□ Yes □ Obs	S5-5.8.9, S6-11.5, S8-9.16, S12; i4- appendix 8, i6;

stem	
SD	

mber	🗆 Yes	S5-5, S6-9, S3-5, S12-14.3, i4-appendix
2	\Box Obs	8, i6;

S5-5, S6-9, S3-5, S12-14.3, S2-7, i4- appendix 8, i6;
--

pour	🗆 Yes	S5-5, S6-9, S3-5, S12-14.3, S2-7, i4-
	\Box Obs	appendix 8, i6;

Audito	r findings:				
3-11	Does the PMS include a live cycle equipment replacement policy?	☐ Yes ☐ Obs	Can the LNG bunker vessel's captain show proof that equipment is replaced according the live cycle equipment replacement policy? Does it occur that the replacement policy is delayed? Are all ordered spare parts received in time? What happens with the replaced equipment (to avoid an inappropriate re-use? Are procedures on board for: o Hose cares; o Preservations of hoses; o Replacement of hoses.	☐ Yes □ Obs	Is it clear to any randomly chosen LNG bunker ves crew member what equipment is not allowed to b used? Can it be proven that the current replaceme process is un-delayed?
Audito	r findings:				
3-12	Does PMS include the management of spare parts?	□ Yes □ Obs	Can the LNG bunker vessel's leading staff show: — What kind of spare parts are available on the	□ Yes □ Obs	Is it clear to any random chosen LNG bunker vesse crew member where the spare parts are stored or

	ship?	ship?
	 What kind of spare parts are available within 	
	24 hours?	

Auditor findings:

3-13	Does PMS include an inspection schedule with	🗆 Yes	Are the maintenance inspections integrated into LNG	🗆 Yes	Is it clear to any random chosen LNG bunker vessel's	🗆 Yes	S5-5.8.9, S6-11.5, S8-9.16, S12, i4-
	appropriate intervals?	□ Obs	bunker vessel's operational maintenance routine?	🗆 Obs	crew member whether he has the possibility to report	🗆 Obs	appendix 8, i6;
					the inappropriate functioning of equipment during		
					maintenance inspections?		

Auditor findings:

3-14	Does PMS include a procedure for reporting non-	🗆 Yes	Does PMS include a system to record and/or follow up	🗆 Yes	Is it clear to any random chosen LNG bunker vessel's	🗆 Yes	S5-5.8.9, S6-11.5, S8-9.16, S12, i4-
	conformities, with possible cause if known.	🗆 Obs	for reported non-conformities, with possible cause if	□ Obs	crew member whether he has the possibility to report	🗆 Obs	appendix 8, i6;
			known. Is the role off the LNG bunker vessel's leading		in a formal way the inappropriate character or		
			staff and crew with regard to the reporting mechanism		malfunctioning of equipment, with possible cause if		
			clearly described?		known?		

ssel's	□ Yes	\$5-5.8.9, \$6-11.5, \$8-9.16, \$12; i4-
be	\Box Obs	appendix 8, i6;
ent		

el's n the	□ Yes □ Obs	S5-5.8.9, S6-11.5, S8-9.16, S12, i4- appendix 8, i6;

3-15	Does PMS include a procedure for pre- and post- operational checks on equipment.	□ Yes □ Obs	Are any prescriptions available on the frequency of execution of procedures for pre- and post-operational checks on equipment? Is it possible to adapt this frequency? What records are kept?	□ Yes □ Obs	Can the LNG bunker vessel's operational crew sho that the frequency of performed checks on differe parts of equipment is according procedures? Verif the performed actions during the check.
Auditor	findings:				
3-16	Does PMS include procedures for appropriate	🗆 Yes	Are the procedures for corrective action integrated into	□ Yes	Can the LNG bunker vessel's operational crew sho
	corrective action.	□ Obs	LNG bunker vessel's operational maintenance routine?	□ Obs	corrective actions that have been taken with regar reported inappropriate or malfunctioning of equipment?
Auditor	findings:				<u> </u>
3-17	Does PMS include a reporting and recording procedure of corrective actions.	□ Yes □ Obs	Does the LNG bunker vessel's operational maintenance routine contain a reporting and recording procedure of corrective actions? How many of the corrective actions are effectively recorded and reported?	□ Yes □ Obs	Is the LNG bunker vessel's operational crew inform about the action that has been taken due to a repo inappropriate or malfunctioning of equipment?
Auditor	findings:				

w	🗆 Yes	S5-5.8.9, S6-11.5, S8-9.16, S12, i4-
ent	\Box Obs	appendix 8, i6;
fy on		

ow the	🗆 Yes	S5-5.8.9, S6-11.5, S8-9.16, S12, i4-
rd to	\Box Obs	appendix 8, i6;

med	🗆 Yes	\$5-5.8.9, \$6-11.5, \$8-9.16, \$12, i4-
orted	\Box Obs	appendix 8, i6;

Safety system requirement 4 – Optimal Preparations

The quality management system guarantees optimal preparation for performing LNG bunker operations; e.g. procedures with respect to risk assessment, SIMOPS, mooring system, compatibility checks between the ship and the bunker vessel.

Nr	Audit item company office	Result	Audit item LNG bunker vessel	Result	Reality check (Operational audit item)	Result	Clarification and reference
4-1	Are procedures in place for the use of Part A of the IAPH LNG Bunker Checklist Ship To Ship ('Pre Bunker Operations Checklist') during the pre-planning of the LNG bunkering?	□ Yes □ Obs	Are the LNG bunker vessel's leading staff and/or crew involved in the implementation of part A of the IAPH LNG Bunkering Checklist Ship To Ship ('Pre Bunker Operations Checklist') during the pre-planning of the LNG bunkering?	□ Yes □ Obs	On forehand of a bunker operation, can the LNG bunker vessel's crew show part A of the IAPH LNG bunker checklist?	□ Yes □ Obs	S10, S3-11, S5-AnnexA, i1-15, i6;
Auditor	findings:						
4-2	 Is a procedure in place to get approval for the planned bunker operation(s) that: <i>confirms on forehand the application is compliant with local regulations and local spatial planning;</i> <i>provides all the application required documentation;</i> <i>updates all parties involved in case of changes in the application or provided application.</i> 	□ Yes □ Obs	Is the LNG bunker vessel's crew aware of the location specific elements that may intervene in the approval process of a LNG bunker operation?	☐ Yes ☐ Obs	Is a randomly selected LNG bunker vessel's crew member acquainted with local conditions and requirements?	☐ Yes ☐ Obs	S10, S12-7, S3-4.1, i1-12, i6;
Auditor	findings:						
4-3	Are procedures in place to arrange for a LNG bunkering location specific HAZID, HAZOP and/or risk assessment, as required, and to provide this to the LNG bunker vessel?	□ Yes □ Obs	Is the LNG bunker vessel's leading staff aware of any possible local situation that may lead to an abortion/relocation of the planned bunker operation? Do the bunker vessel's leading staff has access to the full documentation of the performed HAZID's, HAZOP's and/or risk assessments with regard to the planned LNG transfer?	□ Yes □ Obs	Is there a local situation on the scene that should lead to an additional risk assessment due to local aspects? Are all the activities in the vicinity of the bunker operation foreseen (i.e. as SIMOPS).	□ Yes □ Obs	S10, S12-8, S3-12, S6-7, i4-1.3, i6;
Auditor	findings:						

4-4	Is a procedure in place to work within the risk criteria of the competent authority?	□ Yes □ Obs	Is the LNG bunker vessel's crew aware of the reports concerning risk assessment and do they have access to these reports? Is the LNG bunker vessel's leading staff capable to tell in their own words what the companies risk policy contains and how this fits with competent authorities' risk acceptance?	□ Yes □ Obs	Can a randomly selected LNG bunker vessel's crew member if a certain situation is acceptable for LNG bunker transfer, or the ESD button has to be activated or other action to be taken.	☐ Yes ☐ Obs	No international standards with regard to risk perimeters and external safety are available today. Local criteria should be observed. S12-8, i4-1.3, i6;
Auditor	findings:			•		•	
4-5	Are procedures in place to arrange an LNG bunkering transfer system and compatibility assessment during the planning stage?	□ Yes □ Obs	Is the Person in Charge (for planning?) from the LNG bunker vessel involved in the specific lay-out of the transfer-system and compatibility assessment during the planning stage?	□ Yes □ Obs	n/a		S3-4.1, S6-8.3, S5-6, S2-2.1.1, i1-8.11, i4-appendix 8, i6;
Auditor	findings:		<u>.</u>	•			
4-6	Are procedures in place for structure and content of the LNG bunkering compatibility assessment?	□ Yes □ Obs	Do the persons in charge of each LNG bunker vessel and receiving vessel have an extensive list of items (and proper information of the own assets) that need to be assessed in order to verify different possible options for each separate operation?	☐ Yes ☐ Obs	 Are LNG bunker operations in compliance with the agreements out of the compatibility check? Are all operations performed as planned, or have there been any adaptions due to incomplete assessment or unforeseen events? 	☐ Yes ☐ Obs	S3-4.1, S6-8.3, S5-6, S2-2.1.1, i1-8.11, i4-appendix 8, i6;
Auditor	findings:			•		•	
4.7	Are procedures in place to acquaint the LNG bunker operation Person In Charge (PIC) on forehand with the information out of the STS LNG bunkering compatibility meeting.	Yes Obs	Has LNG bunker vessel's staff, including the person in charge (PIC), and/or the "LNG bunker superintendent" acquainted themselves on forehand specific for the planned LNG bunkering?	Yes Obs	Is Person In Charge (PIC) familiar with compatibility.	Yes Obs	S3-4.1, S6-8.3, S5-6, S2-2.1.1; i4-5, i1- 7+15, i6;
Auditor	findings:						
4-8	Are there procedures in place to involve or to inform the adjacent terminal in the planning and preparations for the LNG bunker operation?	□ Yes □ Obs	 In what stage of the preparation of a LNG bunker operation will there be a first contact and exchange of information, idea's and operational requirements with the adjacent terminal? Is there an available list of issue to be discussed? Is emergency response considered? 	□ Yes □ Obs	n/a		With regard to interaction with logistics and incident- or emergency response of the terminal. S10, S3-4.1, S6-8.3, S5-6, i1-15, i3, i6;

v	🗆 Yes	No international standards with
G	\Box Obs	regard to risk perimeters and external
ated		safety are available today. Local
		criteria should be observed.
		S12-8, i4-1.3, i6;

S3-4.1, S6-8.3, S5-6, S2-2.1.1, i1-8.11, i4-appendix 8, i6;	
--	--

e	□ Yes □ Obs	S3-4.1, S6-8.3, S5-6, S2-2.1.1, i1-8.11, i4-appendix 8, i6;
ave		

	With regard to interaction with logistics and incident- or emergency response of the terminal.
	S10, S3-4.1, S6-8.3, S5-6, i1-15, i3, i6;

Auditor	findings:						
4.9	 Is a LNG bunker vessel's specific bunker management plan (BMP) in place and based on specific standards and guidelines? What standards and guidelines are used for the development of the BMP? Are all standards or guidelines for the content of the BMP regarded and incorporated in the BMP? If not, which items are not incorporated and what is the reason behind the difference with the standard or guidelines. 	☐ Yes ☐ Obs	Are the LNG bunker vessel's captain and crew acquainted with the LNG bunker vessel's specific bunker management plan?	☐ Yes ☐ Obs	Is the LNG bunker operation based on the LNG bunker vessel's specific bunker management plan?	☐ Yes ☐ Obs	S7-1.5, S3- 4.13, i3, i6;
Auditor	findings:						
4.10	Are procedures in place to establish jointly agreed control zones based on the ships particulars, the planned LNG bunkering specifics and specific local and nautical regulations or circumstances;	□ Yes □ Obs	 Are LNG bunker vessel's captain and crew acquainted with the required control zones? Did the Port authority approved the applicable control zones? Is the Terminal acquainted with the required control zones? Is there a map or plan available with the designation of the control zones to be installed during the bunker operation? Ils this map or plan available and known to all relevant crewmembers? Are the LNG bunker vessel's leading staff and relevant crewmembers aware of criteria and aspects that have influence on the extent of those control zones? On what basis and criteria, the bunker vessel officer would propose the boundaries of such control zones? 	☐ Yes ☐ Obs	Are LNG bunker operations both on the LNG bunker vessel and receiving ship in compliance with the required control zones?	□ Yes □ Obs	S13, S3-3, S6-7.2, i3, i6;
Auditor	findings:		·				
4.11	Does the LNG bunker management plan include procedures for simultaneous operations (SIMOPS) during the LNG-Bunkering?	□ Yes □ Obs	Are LNG bunker vessel's captain and crew acquainted with SIMOPS requirements and restrictions?	□ Yes □ Obs	Are LNG bunker operations both on the LNG bunker vessel and receiving ship in compliance with SIMOPS requirements and restrictions?	□ Yes □ Obs	S1, S3-2.6, S5-Annex B, S12-11, i1- 15.07, i6;

Auditor	 Are the regular SIMOPS on the receiving ship prescribed in the Bunker Management Plan? Is SMOPS risk mitigation during LNG bunkering prescribed in the BMP of the receiving ship? Is risk mitigation during LNG bunkering prescribed in the BMP of the supplying ship? Is the required risk mitigation on both ships based on a SIMOPS HAZID? Is the proper SIMOPS risk mitigation as prescribed in both BMP's consolidated in the JPO and LNG bunker checklist? 		 Is the terminal acquainted with SIMOPS requirements and restrictions? Is the PIC instructed to establish an overall risk mitigation plan for SIMOPS or SIMBOPS, for both ships and if applicable the terminal? Has the LNG bunker vessel's crew any available list of activities that are allowed or forbidden to be performed on the bunker facility during LNG-bunkering? Has the LNG bunker vessel's crew any available list of activities that are allowed or forbidden to be performed on the receiving vessel during LNG-bunkering? In which way will the LNG bunker vessel's crew perform an assessment to deal with simultaneous operations and who will be involved in such an assessment? 		 Is an overall risk mitigation plan for SIMOPs of board? Are all involved parties, (ships and terminal) all involved personnel acquainted with the content the overall SIMOPS plan?
4.12	 Is a contingency plan in place to be activated in the event of a system shut down or system failure? Are procedures in place to ensure all crew should be made aware of any actions required in the event of a system shut-down? 	□ Yes □ Obs	Are LNG bunker vessel's leading staff and/or the person in charge (PIC) acquainted with the contingency plan that is to be activated in the event of a system shut-down or system failure?	□ Yes □ Obs	 Are crewmembers aware of any actions required in event of system shut down or system failure? When was the latest exercise or drill to test the contingency plan?
Auditor	r findings:				
4.13	 Is a contingency plan in place to be activated in the event of an emergency? Are procedures in place to ensure all relevant parties should be made aware of any actions required in the event of an emergency shut-down, or an emergency release of the transfer system, including making reports to the relevant Flag and Port Authorities? 	☐ Yes ☐ Obs	Have the captain of the LNG bunker vessel, the person in charge (PIC), and/or the "LNG bunker superintendent" acquainted themselves on forehand with the contingency plan to be activated in the event of an emergency?	☐ Yes ☐ Obs	 Are all relevant parties aware of any actions requi in the event of an emergency shut-down (ESD1), c emergency release of the transfer system (ESD2)? Is the LNG bunker vessel's crew aware of the obligation to report misses and near missis, including ESD1 and ESD 2 activations caused be emergency to relevant authorities? Is the LNG bunker vessel's crew aware to whole they have to report misses and near missis?
Auditor	r findings:				

on			
and ent of			

in the	🗆 Yes	S1-3.5, S2-10, S6-11.6, i4-appendix 8,
	\Box Obs	i1-8.11, i6;
he		

iired or an ?	□ Yes □ Obs	S1-3.5, S2-10, S6-11.6, i4-appendix 8, i1-8.11, i6;
by an		
от		

Safety system requirement 5 – Safe Operations

The quality management system guarantees optimal safety in performing LNG bunker operations; e.g. procedures with respect to the use of checklists.

Nr	Audit item company office	Result	Audit item LNG bunker vessel	Result	Reality check (Operational audit item)	Result	Clarification and reference
5-1	 Does the company prescribe the use of an LNG bunker checklist? What standards and guidelines are used for the development of the LNG Bunker checklist for your ship? Did you add additional ship specific items, or did you leave out items from the guidelines which are not applicable for your ship? Do you have a procedure for the proper use of the checklist? Are procedures in place for the handling of used LNG Bunkering Checklists and the sharing of relevant information after the bunkering is completed? 	□ Yes □ Obs	 Are the LNG bunker vessel's leading staff and/or the person in charge (PIC) acquainted with the proper use of the STS LNG bunker checklist? Does the crew know what to do in case a situation doesn't comply with the checklist or in case it changed during the operation to a non-compliant? 	□ Yes □ Obs	 Is the STS checklist on board and properly used? Are all relevant checklists completed and filled in conform the actual situation? Is the operation compliant with the filled in items of the checklist? 	□ Yes □ Obs	S10, S3-11, S5-Annex A, i3, i6;

5-2	Are procedures in place to create a joint plan of operations (JPO) to combine LNG bunker management plan of the LNG supplying vessel and the LNG receiving	□ Yes □ Obs	Can the LNG bunker vessel's captain show proof of having a framework for such a joined plan of operation?	□ Yes □ Obs	Is the LNG bunker vessel's crew acquainted with the Joint plan of Operations?	□ Yes □ Obs	<i>S2-7, S7-1.5, S3- 4.13,</i> i4-appendix8, i1-15, i6;
	 Agreement on the mooring and unmooring plan with respect to the possible influence on the mooring (and fender?) arrangements of the receiving vessel, any local regulations and conditions and the output from location specific risk assessment? Establishment of jointly agreed control zones based on the ships particulars, the planned LNG bunkering specifics and specific local and nautical regulations or circumstances; Development of a specific LNG bunkering procedure for on preselected LNG bunkering guidelines. Simultaneous operations for the LNG bunker operation; Development of a location specific emergency response plan and bunker operation safety 		 Is determined who is responsible for the establishment of such a plan? What are the elements of input that the bunker vessel has to deliver and what are the elements of input that the receiving vessel will have to deliver? Are the captains of the vessels involved in the development or have the captains of the vessels, and/or the person in charge (PIC), and/or the "LNG bunker superintendent" acquainted themselves on forehand specific with the approach / mooring plan Are specific LNG bunker procedures available for each concerned receiving ship and the delivering bunkering facility? Are these procedures developed during planning stage or pre-operation stage? 		 Before the start of a bunker operation: Ask a randomly selected crew member if he is aware of the existence of a joint plan of operations and if he has access to this plan for the performance of his duties. Is the mooring arrangement between both ships as stipulated on the agreed mooring plan? What was the reason for a possible adaptation of the mooring arrangement? What was the approval procedure of the adaption? Are the control zones clear and understood to the people around the bunker operation? Are the control zones clear and understood to the people responsible for the other activities? Is there a specific LNG bunkering procedure for the concerned ship and bunkering facility based on 		

instructions.	□ Have all stakeholders involved with the LNG	which preselected LNG bunkering guideline?
	bunker operation acquainted themselves on	Are work instructions available for each specific
	forehand specific with the joint plan of operation	receiving vessel? When are the specific instructions
	(JPO)?	for each individual ship communicated to the crew?
		□ Has the LNG bunker vessel's crew any available list
		of activities that are allowed or forbidden to be
		performed on the bunker facility during LNG-
		bunkering? Has the LNG bunker vessel's crew any
		available list of activities that are allowed or
		forbidden to be performed on the receiving vessel
		during LNG-bunkering? In which way will the LNG
		bunker vessel's crew perform an assessment to deal
		with simultaneous operations? Who will be involved
		in such an assessment?
		\Box Have the LNG bunker vessel's crew participated in
		the development of a location specific emergency
		response plan or are they informed about it?
		\square Ask a randomly selected crew member if a certain
		situation is accentable for LNG bunker transfer or
		the ESD button has to be activated or other action
		to be taken
		to be taken.

	r						
5-3	Are your operational safety procedures in line with all	🗆 Yes	Are LNG bunker vessel's leading staff and/or the	🗆 Yes	Is the LNG bunker vessel's crew familiar with the	🗆 Yes	S1, S3, S5-6, S12, S13, S2-7, i4-
	standards and best practice guidelines?	🗆 Obs	person in charge (PIC) acquainted with the procedures	□ Obs	operational safety procedures?	🗆 Obs	appendix 8, i1 6-7-8.11-12-15, i6;
			for a safe operation?				
	□ What references did you use for the development of				Conversation and questions:		
	the procedures for a safe operation of your LNG		□ Does the crew see any "gaps" or procedures that				
	bunker vessel?		are not workable?		□ the PIC is appointed and in charge?		
			□ Are all operational procedures available on board?		\Box the PIC does anticipate on current and forecasted		
	□ Did you add additional ship specific items, or did you		\Box Are officers and crew trained in the application of		environmental conditions?		
	leave out items from the guidelines in your		operational procedures		\Box Do all parties involved in the LNG-bunkering know		
	procedures which are not applicable for your ship?				the PIC?		
					\Box Is a pre-LNG bunker meeting executed with the		
	□ Which of the below, by standards and guidelines				responsible persons of the involved vessels?		
	advised, procedures have you incorporated in your				□ Is there a proper manifold, and hose/equipment		
	own operational procedures? Show two of these				watch?		
	procedures, as per auditor's choice, for an insight				\Box Is involved personal briefed, and does they		
	view:				understand their responsibilities?		
					□ Are LNG bunker hoses/arms and any associated		
	 Exchange information 				emergency release systems safely connected?		
	 A pre-operation meeting; 				□ Is an appropriate vapour management system,		
	 Briefing of crew; 				vapour return line and associated emergency		

0	Pre-operational checks;		release system safely connected	
0	Conducting initial checks of the transfer system;		Is the emergency shutdown system (ESD) properly	
0	Conducting initial checks of the safety system;		connected and tested?	
0	Monitoring of the mooring arrangement;		Are LNG bunker transfer rates monitored together	
0	Monitoring of the transfer system;		with associated vapour management procedures?	
0	Monitoring of transfer rate and pressures;		Are initial checks of the bunkering and safety	
0	Manifold, and hose/equipment watch keeping;		system conducted to ensure a safe transfer of LNG	
0	Hose handling;		during the bunkering phase is ensured?	
0	Connection and use of LNG bunker hoses/arms;		Are the boundaries of the safety zone associated	
0	Connection of vapour return line;		with bunker station and connection, clearly marked	
0	Connection and use of linked ESD and ERS;		out?	
0	Testing of the release equipment;		Is a communication system with back-up provided	
0	Vapour management system;		between the both ships?	
0	Boundary of the safety zone;		Did both ships operate on the same working	
0	Personnel in the safety zone;		channel for communication?	
0	PPE use in the safety zone;		Is the communication tested before the transfer	
0	Gas detection in the safety zone;		operation started?	
0	Communication systems;		Is the protection from cryogenic brittle fracture of	
0	Protection from cryogenic brittle fracture;		the receiving ship deck and structure caused by	
0	Electrical isolation in the LNG bunker line;		leakage of LNG fitted as per IGF code requirements	
0	Purging, inerting and cooling down prior		and the approved design?	
	transfer;		Is the electrical isolation in the LNG bunker line in	
0	Leak test prior transfer;		place before bunkering commences?	
0	Support of the transfer system;		Is the release equipment inspected and tested?	
0	Zero emission during normal transfer;		Did the crew performed a visual inspection before	
0	Draining and purging after the transfer;		physical connection of the bunker hoses and	
0	Disconnection of hoses/arms;		connecting systems?	
0	Unmooring and separation of vessels;		Is the piping at LNG bunker vessel inerted and	
			cooled down prior to the connection with the ship to	
			be bunkered?	
			Is during connecting of the transfer system,	
			humidity at the flange mating surfaces avoided and	
			were all mating surfaces clean?	
			Are hoses suitable supported?	
			Are the dry breakaway couplings visually examined	
			to confirm that they are in an operational	
			condition?	
			Is the transfer system purged after connection?	
			Is the transfer system checked on leaks during	
			inerting?	
			is a linked ESD system connected, tested warm and	
			cola, and is it ready for use?	
			is ourning the transfer operation, personnel in the	
			sujery zone infineer to essential staff only and wears	
			(DDE) and an individual particula are detector?	
			(PPE) una un maiviaual portable gas aetector?	

	 Is during the whole transfer process the ESD operational and an ERS provided? Is the transfer process without emissions? Are the bunker lines, transfer system and tank condition and levels continuously monitored for the duration of the transfer operation? Are the mooring lines supervised during the entire bunkering operation? Is the system continuously monitored for leaks during the operation? Is the unmooring operation in compliance with the proceedure?
	procedure?

Auditor findings:

5-4	 Are procedures in place to define the boundary conditions such as transfer rate, boil-off handling and loading limit have been agreed between the supplier and the ship to be bunkered? Are procedures in place to ensure an agreement before commencing the bunker LNG transfer on: Transfer time, temperature and pressure of the delivered LNG, pressure inside the receiving LNG bunker vessel's tank, delivery line measurement, 	☐ Yes ☐ Obs	Are instructions on board, and is ships personal instructed to ensure the boundary conditions such as transfer rate, boil-off handling and loading limit have been agreed between the supplier and the ship to be bunkered?	□ Yes □ Obs	Are all operational parameters known by the pers involved in the LNG bunkering?
	 and vapour return line measurement (if applicable) The maximum LNG temperature that the receiving ship can handle The maximum loading level and transfer rate, including cool down and topping up? 				

5-5	Are procedures in place to establish that any site- specific and vessels specific risk mitigations will be in place, including control zones such as the security and safety zones?	□ Yes □ Obs	Is the PIC instructed to establish an overall plan for site-specific and vessels specific risk mitigation, including security and safety zones?	□ Yes □ Obs	Is all require site-specific and vessels specific risk mitigations in place, including security and safety zones?	□ Yes □ Obs	S12, S13, S3-3, i4-1.4, i6;
	 Are the port and terminal acquainted with the 		□ Does the port have obligations to maintain the		Does the PIC anticipate on current and forecasted		

onnel	□ Yes	S10, S2-7, S5-6.2, S12, i4-appendix 8,
	□ Obs	i1 6-7-8.11-12-15, i5-9.3, i6;

	 necessary control zones? Is a procedure in place to act on (changing) environmental conditions with operational impact; Are procedures in place to ensure the local emergency response organization is acquainted of actions required in the event of an emergency? 		necessary risk mitigation in control zones? Does the terminal have obligations to maintain the necessary risk mitigation in control zones? 		 environmental conditions? Does the port control safe passing distances for other vessels? Does the terminal control activities in the safety and security zone? 		
Audito	or findings:						
5-6	 Are procedures in place to inform the necessary authorities with regard to the LNG bunkering operation? Are procedures in place to ensure the permission for the transfer operation is available from the relevant authority? How is ensured that the checklist is compliant with the part regulations of the parts you want to be a set of the parts you want to	□ Yes □ Obs	 Are instructions on board, and is the PIC instructed to ensure the permission for the transfer operation is available from the relevant authority? Are instructions on board, and is the PIC instructed to inform the necessary authorities with regard to the LNG bunkering operation? 	□ Yes □ Obs	 Are the necessary authorities informed with regard to the LNG bunkering operation? Are all required reports made to the appropriate authorities? Is the permission for the transfer operation available from the relevant authority? Is the operation conducted in compliance with all applicable requirements? 	□ Yes □ Obs	S12, S3-4, i1-12, i6;
Audito	 The port regulations of the ports you want to bunker? How is ensured that the planned activities are in compliance with all applicable (local) regulatory requirements? 				applicable regulatory requirements?		

Safety system requirement 6 – Aftercare

The quality management system guarantees optimal completion (aftercare) of the LNG bunker operations and guarantees that all employees are familiar with the obligation to report and make written records of any safety related nonconformities (i.e. incidents, near-incidents/near-misses and hazardous occurrences) and to act accordingly. The system also enables access to information showing to what extent these non-conformities happen in the company and what measures the company has taken for the prevention of future occurrences. In addition, the system ensures the reporting safety related non-conformities to the ports.

Nr	Audit item company office	Result	Audit item LNG bunker vessel	Result	Reality check (Operational audit item)
6-1	Does the LNG bunker company have a manifested statement that defines a policy on reporting safety- related non-conformities (e.g. incidents, near misses, hazardous occurrences) on a non-punitive basis?	☐ Yes ☐ Obs	Is the LNG bunker vessel's leading staff aware of the company's policy and the agreements with regard to confidentiality and the non-punitive outcome of reporting non-conformities (e.g. incidents, near misses, hazardous occurrences)?	□ Yes □ Obs	 On a company level: How many reports have been received/ collected? Can some of them be shown? Can be indicated what has been done? Are there statistics?
Auditor	findings:				
6-2	Does the LNG bunker company, through its policy, stimulate a culture which features an atmosphere of responsible behavior and trust whereby people are encouraged to provide essential safety-related non- conformities without fear of retribution?	□ Yes □ Obs	Does the LNG bunker vessel's leading staff feel safe and stimulated to report non-conformities?	□ Yes □ Obs	Can a randomly selected LNG bunker vessel's crew member show proof of reporting of an incident or miss after the LNG bunker operation?
Auditor	findings:				
6-3	Has the company appointed a dedicated responsible person for the stimulation and follow-up of non- conformity reporting?	□ Yes □ Obs	Is the LNG bunker vessel's captain aware of the by the company appointed responsible person for the stimulation and follow-up of non-conformity reporting?	□ Yes □ Obs	Can a randomly selected LNG bunker vessel's crew member name by the company appointed respon person for the stimulation and the follow-up of no conformity reporting?
Auditor	findings:				
6-4	 Does the company have a system in place that supports non-punitive reporting of safety-related non-conformities? Can the company show proof of the system being in use? 	□ Yes □ Obs	 Can the LNG bunker vessel's captain show proof of such a system being in place and being used? Is there a proper way for a crewmember to report on the functioning of safety procedures to the QM? 	□ Yes □ Obs	Can a randomly selected LNG bunker vessel's crew member tell more about using the reporting syste
Auditor	findings:				

	Result	Clarification and reference
n	□ Yes □ Obs	This check shows whether the company is motivated to have its personnel report incidents and near misses without any consequences for the person that files the report. C1, S8-9.18, S12, S5-7;

N	🗆 Yes	C1, S8-9.18, S12;
r near	\Box Obs	

N	🗆 Yes	For instance, the Quality Manager.
sible	🗆 Obs	C1, S8-9.18, S12;
on-		

V	🗆 Yes	C1, S8-9.18, S12;
em?	\Box Obs	

6-5	Are safety-related non-conformities (e.g. incidents, near misses, hazardous occurrences) clearly defined within the company policy, and hence in the reporting system?	□ Yes □ Obs	Can the LNG bunker vessel's captain show proof that such definitions are clear and that crew members have been informed on these definitions; i.e. on which non- conformities they are expected to report.	□ Yes □ Obs	Can a randomly selected LNG bunker vessel's crew member name some of the non-conformities he/s expected to report? <i>Request to read log and reports after a bunke</i> <i>operation</i>
Audito	r findings:				
6-6	 Does the company have a procedure in place that ensures the investigation of safety-related non-conformities that are being reported? <i>Can the company show proof of the procedure being in use?</i> <i>Is there a Flow-Chart of Communication?</i> 	□ Yes □ Obs	Can the LNG bunker vessel's captain show proof that reported non-conformities have been investigated by the company?	□ Yes □ Obs	Can a randomly selected applicable LNG bunker vessel's crew member show whether he/she has involved in a near miss investigation? <i>Request to read log and reports after a bunke</i> <i>operation</i>
Audito	r findings:	I		1	
6-7	Are adequate financial and capacity resources available for investigations of safety-related non-conformities (e.g. incidents, near misses, hazardous occurrences)?	□ Yes □ Obs	n/a		n/a
Audito	r findings:				
6-8	 Does the company have a procedure in place to ensure that a responsible person investigates any reported non-conformity to improve the situation, QMS or (operational) procedure? Who is responsible? How long does it take to respond to incident and near misses reporting? How long does it take to change, decide and implement an improved procedure? 	□ Yes □ Obs	 Are there any reports on the functioning / improvements communicated on board of the vessel? How are new procedures communicated? By whom? On which intervals? 	□ Yes □ Obs	Are investigation reports available?
Audito	r findings:			1	
6-9	Does the company have a procedure in place that ensures publishing the outcome and any required procedural improvements based on investigation outcome of a safety-related non-conformity?	☐ Yes ☐ Obs	Can the LNG bunker vessel's captain show proof of a safety-related non-conformity that has led to a follow up (e.g. briefing, change of procedure etc.)?	☐ Yes ☐ Obs	Can a randomly selected LNG bunker vessel's crew member tell more about reported non-conformit being followed-up?

Yes	C1, 58-9,18, 512
Ohs	
0.03	
	Yes Obs

	🗆 Yes	C1, S8-9.18, S12;
been	\Box Obs	
r		
	•	

	C1;

-	
🗆 Yes	C1, S8-9.18, S12;
\Box Obs	

N	🗆 Yes	C1, S8-9.18, S12;
ies	\Box Obs	

	 Can the company show proof of the procedure being in use? Is there a Flow-Chart of Communication? 		 Does the crew receive feedback on their reporting on the functioning of safety procedures? Is there an onboard Flow-Chart of Communication? 		Request to read latest Fleet Circulars
Auditor	findings:				
6-10	 Does the company have a procedure in place that ensures feedback to the reporting person on the investigation outcome of a safety-related non-conformity? Can the company show proof of the procedure being in use? Is there a Flow-Chart of Communication? 	□ Yes □ Obs	 Can the LNG bunker vessel's captain show proof of a near miss that has led to a follow up? Does the crew receive feedback on their reporting on the functioning of safety procedures? Is there an onboard Flow-Chart of Communication? 	□ Yes □ Obs	Can a randomly selected applicable LNG bunker vessel's crew member show whether he/she has b involved in a near miss investigation.
Auditor	findings:				

been	□ Yes □ Obs	C1, S8-9.18, S12;

Safety system requirement 7 – Quality Manager

The company has appointed an independent Quality Manager who is responsible for the internal control on the mission and objectives mentioned above under requirement 1. The Quality Manager, for instance an HSE manager, has adequate education, training and experience. The Quality Manager is the point of contact for the port(s).

Nr	Audit item company office	Result	Audit item LNG bunker vessel	Result	Reality check (Operational audit item)	Result	Clarification and reference
7-1	Has the company appointed an Quality Manager (QM) and has the QM's role been described, for instance in the Quality Management Manual?	☐ Yes ☐ Obs	Is interaction between the ship and QM established based on the company QM role description?	□ Yes □ Obs	 Is the LNG bunker vessel's crew aware of the appointed QM? Can the captain show proof of interaction with the QM? Can the LNG bunker vessel's crew name the QM? Is the crew aware of the QM's role? What does the crew discuss with the QM? 	☐ Yes ☐ Obs	C1, S12, S5-7
Auditor	findings:				<u>.</u>		
7-2	 Can it be shown that the QM is independent? What (company statement) indicates this independency? In case the QM is a hired external, does the contract not withhold the QM's independency? 	□ Yes □ Obs	n/a		n/a		For instance, a company statement, Quality Policy C1, S12, S5-7
Auditor	findings:	1			<u> </u>		
7-3	 Does the QM have professional competence, knowledge and experience? Which documents of education and/or training indicate this? 	□ Yes □ Obs	n/a		Can the QM show a specific training certificate (e.g. Internal Auditor training, DPA Course)?	□ Yes □ Obs	C1, S12, S5-7
Auditor	findings:	I		L		L	
7-4	 Does the QM have sufficient resources (personnel, time and money) available to be effective? Full time or part time QM? How much staff? How much percent of your working time is the QM using for these QM tasks? 	□ Yes □ Obs	n/a		 Can the QM show a list of follow-ups? Can the QM show a list of proposed improvements by QM to management? 	□ Yes □ Obs	C1, S12, S5-7

	 Can the QM show audit reports indicating the invested time? How often does the QM visit the fleet? 				
Auditor	findings:				<u> </u>
7-5	Is the QM aware of his responsibilities with regard to the internal control on the company mission and objectives; i.e. communication with the (top)management?	□ Yes □ Obs	n/a		Can the QM show a last internal audit report to the management and the follow-up (e.g. Corrective A and Preventive Action Plan)?
Auditor	findings:				
7-6	 Is the fleet regularly visited by the QM? On which intervals the fleet is visited by the QM? Can the QM show last visiting (audit) report & follow-up? 	□ Yes □ Obs	 Is the LNG bunker vessel regularly visited by the QM? On which intervals is the vessel visited by the QM? Are reports made up and available? 	□ Yes □ Obs	 Has the LNG bunker vessel been visited by the QN <i>Can the captain show a last date of a visit by a QM?</i>
Auditor	findings:	L		I	
7-7	 Is the QM appointed as contact person for port authorities? If not, what is the reason? If not, who is the alternative contact person for the competent authority? 	□ Yes □ Obs	n/a		Is the QM aware of the contact person of the por
Auditor	findings:				

ne	🗆 Yes	It's important to know whether the
ction	□ Obs	QM is taken seriously by the
		management.
		C1, S12, S5-7

/!?	□ Yes □ Obs	Acc. ISM at least every 12 months visits/internal audits by the company
the		
		C1, S12, S5-7

Safety system requirement 8 – Internal Control and Reporting

The Quality Manager bears the responsibility for internal auditing of the quality management system and for initiating improvements of the system. He or she reports on a half-yearly basis to the port(s) on their achievements with respect to the performance of safe and environmentally friendly LNG bunker operations, including a list of all relevant documentation versions.

Nr	Audit item company office	Result	Audit item LNG bunker vessel	Result	Reality check (Operational audit item)	Result	Clarification and reference	
8-1	 Does the company have a functioning system for internally auditing the QMS? Are procedures in place? Does the QM audit the QMS at regular intervals? How long does it take for the management to respond to the QMS reporting? 	□ Yes □ Obs	Are the LNG bunker vessel's captain and crew aware that the QMS is audited?	□ Yes □ Obs	Do internal and external audit reports correspond to planned audit schedule?	□ Yes □ Obs	C1, S12, S5-7	
Auditor	findings:							
8-2	 Are there procedures for improving the safety and environmental performance on LNG bunker operations based on the internal QSM audit? Does the QM gather information of performance of safety procedures for safe LNG bunker operations? (e.g. monitoring, supervising the operations during visits) Are risk assessments available? How often are they reviewed? Does the QM propose improvements? In what way and on which interval does the QM report to the management on the functioning of the QMS? (e.g. Reports, Follow-up, PMS) 	☐ Yes ☐ Obs	n/a		 Is there any of the following: Reports and communication on visit? Reports and communication on inspections / internal audits? Reports and communication on a corrective and preventive action plan? Reports and communication on follow-up? 	☐ Yes ☐ Obs	System: audit (gather information) -> advice improvements -> initiate agreement -> initiate change -> communicate on it. Input awareness is bottom up (learning system) C1, S12, S5-7	
Auditor	Auditor findings:							

8-3	Does the QM regularly report to the LNG bunker	🗆 Yes	Are reports on the functioning / improvements of the	🗆 Yes	Is there any of the following:
	vessel's crew on the functioning / improvements of the		QMS and/or procedural changes received and	🗆 Obs	
	QMS (e.g. new procedures etc.)		communicated on board of the vessel?		□ Request to see revision index of Quality
					Management System
	□ How are changes and/or new procedures		\Box In what way are captain and crew informed on		□ Reports and communication on visit?
	communicated?		changes and/or new procedures received?		□ Reports and communication on inspections /
	□ On which intervals?		□ How are changes and/or new procedures		internal audits?
	\Box What are the last changes in the Quality		communicated onboard?		□ Reports and communication on a corrective a
	Management System?		□ Does each crew member have to sign for having		preventive action plan?

	🗆 Yes	C1, S12, S5-7
	\Box Obs	
nd		

			 read changes to procedures? On which intervals? What were the last changes? 		□ Reports and communication on follow-up?			
Auditor	Auditor findings:							
8-4	Does the QM regularly report to the port authority on	□ Yes	n/a		n/a			
	the functioning / improvements of the QMS (e.g. new procedures etc.)	🗆 Obs						
	How are changes and/or new procedures communicated?							
	□ On which intervals?							
Auditor findings:								

C1, S12, S5-7

PART V – AUDIT REPORT

Here the auditing team describes the audits that were held, impressions it got, explanation of results, et cetera; i.e. all information that a port authority may use to interpret the outcome of the audits.

APPENDIX 1 – LIST OF REFERENCES

Reference nr.	Company
C1	EN ISO 9001 2015

Reference nr.	Seagoing vessels	Reference nr.	Inland vessels
\$1	SGMF: Simultaneous Operations (SIMOPS) during LNG bunkering	11	CCR: RPR rp1nl_022016
S2	CDI, ICS OCIMF SIGTTO: Ship to ship transfer Guide 2013	12	CCR: RSP
S3	SGMF: Bunkering Safety Guidelines 2.0 2017	13	CCR: Checklist RPR L_ctrl_avitaillement_GNL_nl
S4	SGMF: Competency and assessment guidelines 2017	14	UN-ECE-CEFIC: ES_TRIN_nl
S5	ISO: ISO 20519 2017	15	UN-ECE- WP 15 ADN
S6	ISO: ISO/TS 18683 2015	16	EU-Masterplan RMD, report 2.3IB, 2.4A
S7	IACS: LNG bunkering guidelines No.142 2015		
S8	SIGTTO: Liquefied Gas Handling principles on ships and in Terminals 2016		
S9	ICS: Tanker Safety Guide Liquefied Gas		
S10	IAPH: Checklists		
S11	IAPH: Accreditation		
S12	EMSA: Guidance on LNG Bunkering to Port Authorities and Administrations 2018 (v 0.0)		
S13	SGMF: Recommendations of controlled zones during bunkering 2018		

Usage

The audit checklist refers to a best practice using a reference number. Information on this best practice can then be found in this list. The best practice may be equal or different for seagoing and inland vessels. (C=Company -S=Seagoing - I=Inland)